9. Ulusal

TIGED BBB TRANSPLANTASYON IMMUNOLOJISI VE GENETICI DERNEĞI

Transplantasyon İmmünolojisi ve Genetiği Kongresi



DESENSİTİZASYON MU ÇAPRAZ NAKİL Mİ?

Dr. Ebru Sevinç Ok Acıbadem Kent Hastanesi



| Hasta kan grubu | Verici potansiyeli |
|------------------------|--------------------|
| 0 kan grubu %34 | %34 |
| A kan grubu %42 | %76 |
| B kan grubu %16 | %50 |
| AB kan grubu %8 | %100 |

Hastaların 1/3 ü kan grubu uyumsuzluğu 1/3 sensitizasyon nedeni ile nakil olamıyor.

Sensitizasyon-Kan Grubu



A kan grubunda %20 A2

İmmunolojik özellik A1>B>**A2**

Sensitizasyon-Anti-HLA



Transplantasyonda Risk Tanımlama



HUMORAL RISK



1. Day-zero DSA with positive CDC

=> Tx impossible. Require desensitization before Tx

2. Day-zero DSA with positive flow and negative CDC

=> **Tx possible** but very high risk for acute AMR and accelerated chronic AMR. Require adaptation of follow up and maintenance IS

3. Day-zero DSA with negative flow

=> **Tx possible** with risk for acute AMR, and acceptable medium-term graft survival. Require adaptation of follow up and maintenance IS

4. Absence of day-zero DSA but potential cellular memory against donor HLA

=> Tx possible with risk for AMR increased.

4.a. Probable cellular memory if :
-historical DSA
-pregnancy and/or previous transplant with repeat Ag
4.b. Possible cellular memory if :
-transfusion(s) with no information on blood donors

5 no DSA and no cellular memory

=> **Tx possible** lower risk for AMR but de novo DSA still possible NB: patient with day-zero non DSA HLA antibodies are "good humoral responders" with possible increased risk for subsequent de novo DSA generation

Figure 4 ENGAGE's proposal for categorization of the humoral risk of solid organ transplant candidates.



Influence of Test Technique on Sensitization Status of Patients on the Kidney Transplant Waiting List

American Journal of Transplantation 2013;

Table 1: Detection of HLA antibodies using different test techniques in patients on the kidney transplant waiting list

| | | Positive patients | | | | | | |
|--|---------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------|------------------------|
| | | | ELISA | | | | SAB | |
| | CDC | Class I | Class II | Class I or II | ELISA or CDC | Class I | Class II | Class I or II |
| All patients ¹ (n = 534) | 28 (5%) | 48 (9%) | 54 (10%) | 73 (14%) | 78 (15%) | 392 (73%) | 246 (46%) | 435 (81%) |
| Without history of immunization (n = 133) With history of immunization (n = 286) | | | 1 (1%) 47 (16%) | 1 (1%) 61 (21%) | 3 (2%) 63 (22%) | 93 (70%) 221 (77%) | | 102 (77%) 240 (84%) |



Riskli Hastalarda Çözümler



Desensitisation

World English Dictionary

desensitize or desensitise (di I 'sansı taız)



-vb

- 1. to render insensitive or less sensitive: the patient was desensitized to the allergen ; to desensitize photographic film
- 2. psychol to decrease the abnormal fear in (a person) of a situation or object, by exposing him to it either in reality or in his imagination





AntiCD20

Proteasome Inhibitors

Apheresis

PF

IA

DFPP

IVIG ve aferez ile, antikor titrelerinde azalma, tx olasılığında artış

Rituximab ile rebaund ihtimalinde azalma

HD-IVIG grupta negatif CDC XM %36, PE/IVIG/rituximab grup %86 N Engl J Med. 2008, Kidney Int. 2015 Am J Transplant. 2006

Obinutuzumab, 25 hasta, MFI da azalma, %36 yan etki,ciddi enfeksiyonlar.

Am J Transplant. 2019





B lymphocyte



Plasma cells



Cytokines





AntiCD20

IGIV

Bortezomib, bir çalışmada Anti HLA Ab larda yarıya yakın azalma ve transplantasyon olanağı sağlamış

Prospektif bir çalışmada ise MFI titrsinde azalma sağlasa da cPRAs değişmemiş ve hastaların %20 si y.e nedeni ile ilacı bırakmış.

Am J Transplant.2015; Transplantation 2017

Carfilzomib; daha selektif ve daha uzun etkili olduğu iddia ediliyor. *Am J Transplant. 2020*

Ixazomib (IXADES), The trial (NCT03213158) enrolled highly sensitized kidney transplant candidates, defined as subjects with calculated panel reactive antibodies (cPRA) >80%, awaiting kidney transplantation >24 months. The subjects were treated with 12 monthly cycles of ixazomib 3 mg+dexamethasone 20 mg. Efficacy was defined as a decrease of cPRA >20% or kidney transplantation.

Kidney360, 2023



T lymphocyte



B lymphocyte



Plasma cells



Cytokines





Tocilizumab

Anti-IL6

- □ 10 hasta, Anti-HLA Ab da azalma, nakil başarısı %50
- Ortalama MFI titresinde düşme, B cell matürasyonu aynı
- Prospektif, 13 hasta, plazmablastlarda anlamlı bir azalma (p = 0,046), Anti-HLA Ab üzerine sınırlı etki
- TETRA çalışması; standart tedavi (RI+IA) alan 26 hasta ile, ilave TOC alan 7 hasta kıyaslanmış. MFI da azalma veya 1. yıl greft sonuçlarında fark yok

Transplantation 2015, Am J Transplant 2021, Am J Transplant 2022, J. Clin. Med. 2023

CLlazakizumab

PF+IVIG ile birlikte.

□ 20 hastanın 18 inde Anti HLA Ab azalma ve rebaundsuz tx imkanı. Posttx Treg ve Breg artışı



Complement

transplantation: finding the sweet spot. Imlifidase is labeled in Europe for desensitization in HLA-incompatible deceased donor kidney transplantation. 35-kDA cysteine preclinical studies: IgG anti-IdeS antibodies hinder repeated dosing protease from Streptococcus n=39 FACS+ transplant recipients with 3-year follow-up, 38% ABMR **B** lymphocyte phase II trials: pyogenes randomized-controlled study enrolling in USA phase III: cleaves all 4 post-approval efficacy study enrolling in Europe subclasses of IgG in F(ab'), and Fc clinical implementation: Plasma cells fragments Identify patients with negligible chances of HLA-compatible donor 000 Delist HLA-unacceptable antigens, balancing the risk of rebound abrogates IgG -0 0 0 Mind crossmatching: single cleaved IgG causes FACS/Luminex dependent positive signal. Cytokines cytotoxicity Imlifidase rapidly and effectively cleaves IgG and prevents hyperacute rejection. How to combine imlifidase with drugs to dampen DSA rebound will be subject to further studies. AE de Weerd et al. Transplantation. May 2023 Transplantation **HLA** antibodies @TransplantIrnl Copyright © 2019 Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. All rights reserved

Imlifidase desensitization in HLA-incompatible kidney

HLAi transplantasyon desensitizasyon sonuçları

Hasta ve greft sağkalımı

| | Country | Time (years) | Patient | Patient survival, % | | |
|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| | | | HLAi transplant | No transplant, but on waiting list | | |
| Montgomery, NEJM 2011 | United States | 8 | 80.6% <i>n</i> = 211 | 30.5% <i>n</i> = 1,050 | p < 0.001 ^a | |
| Orandi, Am J Trans 2014 | United States | 8 | 76.5% <i>n</i> = 1,025 | 43.9% <i>n</i> = 5,125 | p < 0.001 ^a | |
| Manook, Lancet 2017 | United Kingdom | 7 | 78.3% <i>n</i> = 213 | 76.9% <i>n</i> = 852 | $p = NS^{b}$ | |
| Koo, Kidney Int 2021 | Korea | 7 | 96.3% <i>n</i> = 189 ^c | 88.2% <i>n</i> = 930 | p < 0.001 | |

Rtx/HD IVIG rejimi, 20 hasta. PRA %77 -%44 e düşmüş. Hasta ve greft sağkalımı 1 yılda %100-94. AR %50, AMR %30

Benzer protokol 76 hasta, %30 AMR. 2yılda hasta ve greft saağkalımı %95-84.

NEJM 2008, Transplantation 2010

HLAi transplantasyon desensitizasyon sonuçları

HLAi nakillerde ilk yıl hospitalizasyon ihtimali daha yüksek (RR 5.86; p < 0.001), 3.yılda ise düşük (RR 0.74, p < 0.001).

56 HLAi ile 274 HLAc nakil enfeksiyon riskleri açısından karşılaştırılmış. UE (41% vs. 7.7%), CMV viraemia (54% vs. 14%), pneumocystis jiroveci pneumonia (PJP) (5% vs. 0%) (p < 0.001).

Orandi, Am J Trans 2014, BMC Nephrol (2019)

Erken ve geç dönem enfeksiyonlar, viral reaktivasyonlar, İnfüzyon reaksiyonları Koagülopati, kateter komplikasyon, elektrolit bozuklukları

Transplant Proc 2023 Sep Postoperative Events in Incompatible Living Donor Kidney Transplant Recipients Undergoing Prior Desensitization

This study aims to analyze the **surgical complications and bleeding events** presented in ABO-incompatible (ABOi) and HLA-incompatible (HLAi) patients within a **pre-transplant desensitization program** compared with ABO-compatible (ABOc) recipients. We found a greater **number of postoperative surgical complications when analyzing the number of hematomas, size, need for surgical reintervention, and the number of blood units transfused**; incompatible patients showed higher rates of hematomas, need for surgical reinterventions, and transfused units (P < .05).





Viruses **2023**

Article Impact of B Cell Depletion on COVID-19 in Kidney Transplant Recipients

Abstract: Kidney transplant recipients are patients at high risk for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) due to being on immunosuppressive therapy. B cell depletion therapy, including rituximab, is an important strategy for ABOi transplants. However, knowledge about the effect of B cell depletion therapy on COVID-19 is lacking. Thirty kidney transplant recipients who developed COVID-19 were included in this study. To examine the impact of B cell depletion therapy, we retrospectively investigated the relationship between the background of the patients and the clinical outcome. **Of the 30 patients, 13 received B cell depletion therapy**. The median time between transplant and onset of COVID-19 was **6.1 years** after transplantation; however, nine cases remained markedly depleted of **CD19(+) cells (<4.0%).** The patients were assigned to the normal (n = 21) and depletion groups (n = 9). Progression rates in the depletion and normal groups were 55.6% and 9.5%, respectively (p = 0.014). Furthermore, the survival rate was significantly lower in the depletion group (100% in the normal group vs. 66.7% in the depletion group; p = 0.021). **B cell depletion therapy may have long-term effects and increase the risk of COVID-19 in kidney transplant recipients.**

How safe is crossing the ABO blood group barrier in kidney transplantation?





Conclusions ABO-incompatible kidney transplant recipients have good outcomes albeit interior to center-matched ABO-compatible control patients. Annelies E. de Weerd and Michiel G.H. Betjes. ABO-Incompatible Kidney Transplant Outcomes: A Meta-Analysis. CJASN doi: 10.2215/CJN.00540118

Clinical outcomes after ABO-incompatible renal transplantation: a systematic review and meta-analysis

www.thelancet.com, 2019

Findings 1264 studies were screened and 40 studies including 49 patient groups were identified. 65 063 patients were eligible for analysis, 7098 of whom had undergone ABOi-rTx. Compared with ABOc-rTx, ABOi-rTx was associated with significantly higher 1-year mortality (odds ratio [OR] $2 \cdot 17$ [95% CI $1 \cdot 63 - 2 \cdot 90$], p<0.0001; *I*²=37%), 3 years (OR $1 \cdot 89$ [$1 \cdot 46 - 2 \cdot 45$], p<0.0001; *I*²=29%), and 5 years (OR $1 \cdot 47$ [$1 \cdot 08 - 2 \cdot 00$], p=0.010; *I*²=68%) following transplantation. Death-censored graft survival was lower with ABOi-rTx than with ABOc-rTx at 1 year (OR $2 \cdot 52$ [$1 \cdot 80 - 3 \cdot 54$], p<0.0001; *I*²=61%) and 3 years (OR $1 \cdot 59$ [$1 \cdot 15 - 2 \cdot 18$], p=0.0040; *I*²=58%) only. Graft losses were equivalent to that of ABOc-rTx after 5 years and patient survival after 8 years. No publication bias was detected and the results were robust to trial sequential analysis until 5 years after transplantation; thereafter, data became futile or inconclusive.

Interpretation Despite progress in desensitisation protocols and optimisation of ABOi-rTx procedures, excess mortality and loss of kidney grafts was found compared with ABOc-rTx within the first 3 years after transplantation. Only long-term outcomes after 5 years yielded equivalent survival rates and organ function. Awareness of the increased risks of infection, organ rejection, and bleeding could improve care of patients and promote efforts towards paired kidney exchange programmes.

1 yıllık mortalitede 2.17 kat ,3 yıllık mortalitede 1.89 ve 5 yılda 1.47 kat artış. Greft kaybı 5 yıl, hasta kaybı 8 yıl sonra ABOc ile benzer.

Transplant Direct, 2022 Oct Patient and Graft Survival After A1/A2-incompatible Living Donor Kidney Transplantation



FIGURE 2.

Posttransplant outcomes among A2i vs comparable ABOc LDKT recipients. Estimated weighted cumulative incidence of (A) mortality, (B) death-censored graft failure, and (C) all-cause graft loss after kidney transplant among patients who received an A2i or ABOc LDKT. A2i, A2-incompatible; ABOc, ABO-compatible; LDKT, living donor kidney transplantation.

Am J Transplant ,2024 Apr A2/A2B to B deceased donor kidney transplantation in the Kidney Allocation System era

Α



Figure 4. Weighted estimate of the cumulative incidence of (A) mortality, (B) death-censored graft failure, and (C) all-cause graft loss after kidney transplant among patients who received an A2→B or comparable ABO-compatible (B-ABOc) deceased donor kidney transplant.

Years Since Transplantation

A multicenter retrospective cohort study on management protocols and clinical outcomes after ABO incompatible kidney transplantation in India



Conclusion: The largest multicenter study on ABOIKT provides insights into various protocols and management strategies with results comparable to those of ABOcKT

Kute V et al. 2023

Visual Abstract by Priti Meena, MD.

@TransplantJrnl





Kıyaslama verisi

Impact of ABO-Incompatible Living Donor Kidney Transplantation on Patient Survival

808 ABOi hasta ile USA deki SRTR listresinden match control 2423 hasta seçilerek karşılaştırlmış. İlk 30 gün mortalite yüksek, 180 günden sonra daha düşük bulunmuş. *Am J Kidney Dis . 2020*

27 HLAi hasta 69 ABOi hasta ile karşılaştırlmış, sadece PJP farklı (%6-0)

Transpl Int (2015)

ABO uyumsuz nakiller veya desensitizasyon tedavilerinde EK maliyetler

- İmmunadsorbsiyon seans = 16.000 TL.
- Plazmaferez seans +alb ile= 7942+(6x2220) =21.262 TL
- Plazmaferez seans+ TDP ile =7942+(10x425)=12.200 TL
- Rituximab 500 mg flakon =20.000 TL
- Yatış, reop. vb diğer maliyetler

Çapraz nakil (Kidney paired donation (KPD), Paired kidney Exchange (PKE), Paired Living Kidney Donation

- İlk olarak **Rapaport tarafından 1986** da tanımlanmıştır.
- İlk kez 1991 de Güney Kore'de gerçekleştirilmiştir.
- 2000'lerden sonra birçok Avrupa ülkesi ve ABD de önce yerel sonra geniş çaplı veya ulusal sistemler başlamış
- İlk ulusal çapraz nakil havuzu 2005 de Hollanda'da oluşturulmuştur.

İmmunolojik Alt Yapı

13.5 OPTN KPD Histocompatibility Testing

13.5.A HLA Typing Requirements for OPTN KPD Candidates

HLA-A HLA-B HLA-Bw4 HLA-Bw6 HLA-DR

Eğer hastada listelenen HLA tiplerine karşı bir unacceptible antigen varsa, **split düzeyinde HLA** sonuçlarını da içermelidir.

HLA-C HLA-DR51 HLA-DR52 HLA-DR53 HLA-DPB1 HLA-DQA1 HLA-DQB1

13.5.C HLA Typing Requirements for OPTN KPD Donors

HLA-A HLA-B HLA-Bw4 HLA-Bw6 HLA-C HLA-DR HLA-DR51 HLA-DR52 HLA-DR53 HLA-DQA1 HLA-DQB1 HLA-DPB1

İmmunolojik Alt Yapı



Enrolment and Medical Evaluation

Immunology data entered into OrganMatch

Donors must have an authorised HLA typing at **4-digit level** recorded into OrganMatch for each of the following mandatory HLA loci:

HLA-A*, HLA-B*, HLA-Cw*, HLA-DRB1*, HLA-DQB1*, HLA-DQA1*, HLA-DPB1* and HLA-DRB3/4/5*.

Sensitised recipients must have an authorised Class I and Class II HLA antibodies by solid phase single antigen bead assays (Luminex) at 4-digit level recorded into the OrganMatch. DSA with MFI>2000 (One Lambda) or >1500 (Immucor) excludes from matching.

| Examples: | 2 field molecular | 1 field molecular | Serological |
|-----------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | A*11:01 | A*11 | A11 |
| | C*03:04 | C*03 | Cw10 |
| | DRB1*03:01 | DRB1*03 | DR17 |

İmmunolojik Alt Yapı

| | Australia | Scandinavia | UK | Switzerland | Spain | Italy | Belgium | Netherlands | Portuga |
|---|-----------|-------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-------|---------|--------------|---------|
| Blood group of donor and recipient | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recipient's acceptance of ABOi donor | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | No |
| HLA donor typing and recipient HLA antibody | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Resolution | High | High | High and Iow | Low, high upon request | High and Iow | High | Low | High and low | Low |
| A | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| В | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| C | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes 🤇 | No |
| DRBI | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| DQBI | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| DQAI | Yes | Yes 🤇 | No Q | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | No |
| DPBI | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | No |
| DPAI | Yes | Yes | No | No | No | Yes | No | No | No |
| DRB3/4/5 | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No | No |

 Table I. ABO and immunological data recorded in KEP by various countries.

Virtual Crossmatch



Eurotransplant

cPRA (Calculated PRA)

| Unacce | ptable HLA Ant | tigens & | Virtual | Crossn | natch |
|------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | | Potent | tial Don | o r s, >12 | ,000 |
| Candidate: | | A | В | | DQ |
| anti-A2 | 48% cPRA | 1 68 2 24 | 8 13 7 18 | 4 15 1 10 | 2 5 5 5 |
| ⊦ anti-DR4 | 61% cPRA | 2 29 | 13 51 | 8 14 | 4 8 |
| ⊦ anti-DQ5 | 76 % cPRA | 23 26 | 49 62 | 1 17 | 2 5 |
| | | 2 68 | 39 71 | 15 16 | 5 6 |
| | | 1 36 69 74 | 7 44 55 60 | 9 17 4 7 | 4 9 7 8 |
| | | 3 24 | 18 39 | 1 4 | 4 4 |
| | | 11 33 | 51 64 | 15 18 | 5 7 |
| | | 24 43 | 27 45 | 4 8 | 4 8 |
| | | 2 25 | 39 65 | 9 17 | 4 9 |
| | | 2 23 | 44 45 | 13 18 | 78 |
| | | 1 2 | 8 62 | 4 17 | 4 7 |
| | | 2 34 | 57 61 | 11 14 | 2 4 |
| | | 66 68 | 27 39 | 4 15 | 8 5 |
| | | 3 29 | 35 44 | 1 11 | 76 |



| Table 1. Key highediel | its of four national kidney pan | eu uonación registires | | | |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|
| Country | The Netherlands | UK | Canada | Australia | US |
| Year established Name of program | 2004 Living Donor Exchange | 2007 National Living Donor Kidney | 2009 Canadian Blood Services | 2010 Australian paired Kidney | Kidney Paired Donation |
| 10 | Programme | Sharing Scheme (NLDKSS) | Kidney Paired Donation Program (CBS-KPD) | eXchange Program (AKX) | National registry and smaller independent registries exist |
| HLA laboratories | Single | Multiple | Multiple | Multiple | |
| involved Types of exchanges considered | Multiway and domino | Multiway and domino | Multiway and domino | Multiway and domino | Multi way and domino |
| Accepts ABO- incompatible donor matching | No | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Donor allocation algorithm | Virtual cross-match | Virtual cross-match | Virtual cross-match | Virtual cross-match | Unacceptable antigens based on recipient's serological DSA for |
| Primary allocation criteria | Unacceptable antigens based or recipient's serological DSA for HLA-A, B, Bw, DR, DQ | Negative virtual cross-match at HLA-A, B, C, DRB1, DRB345, DQB1, DPB1 | Negative virtual cross-match at HLA-A, B, C, DRB1, DRB345, DQA1, DQB1, DPA1, DPB1 | Negative virtual cross-match at HLA-A, B, C, DRB1, DRB345, DQA1, DQB1, DPA1, DPB1 | HLA-A, B, C, DRB1, DRB345, DQA1, DQB1, DPA1, DPB1 |
| Desensitization progran in combination with KF | | No | No | Yes | Yes |

Table 1. Key ingredients of four national kidney paired donation registries



SAB testi girişte çift

Sensitizasyon olasılığı mevcut olay sonrası

Eşleşme gerçekleşti ve test 3 aydan eski ise

XM testi 1 aydan eskiyse tekrar SAB yapılır, değişiklik yoksa yeni XM gerekmez



HEDEF

ABO uyumu ve DSA /XM negatif eşleşme

Sensitize hastalar puantaj sisteminde öne çıkarılmalı

cPRA kullanımı



Başlangıç eşleşme kriterleri daha geniş tutulabilir.

Uyum yerine uyumsuzluk ön plana çıkarılması---Unacceptible Mismatch.

Unbalanced KPD---Uyumlu çiftlerin alınması

Düşük titre antikorlu ABOi

EUROSTAM

Virtual Crossmatch Approach to Maximize Matching in Paired Kidney Donation

American Journal of Transplantation 2011;

Table 2: Comparison of 3 test runs using different antibody resolution and strength to exclude recipients with antibodies from matching to donors in a pool of 32 incompatible donor recipient pairs

| | Run 1 | Run 2 | Run 3 |
|--|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Low Resolution | High Resolution | High Resolution |
| | MFI > 8000 | MFI > 8000 | MFI > 2000 |
| Time to match | 3h 45 min | 3h 58 min | 0h 50 min |
| No. of matched pairs | 439 | 445 | 355 |
| No. of chains | 308 | 316 | 191 |
| No. of combinations | 22 703 | 24 113 | 8843 |
| No. of patients in 1st combination | 20 | 19 | 17 |
| 3-way chains in 1st combination | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| 2-way chains in 1st combination | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Recipients with DSA 2000–8000MFI | 6 | 4 | 0 |
| No. of patients in chains with predicted negative crossmatch | 8 | 10 | 17 |
| Donor/Recipient age difference (years) | 0.9 ± 14.2 | 1.2 ± 13.6 | 4.0 ± 14.7 |
| | (–24 to 24) | (–25 to 29) | (-24 to 29) |

Table 3: Match results by blood type excluding recipients with donor specific antibody at >2000 mean fluorescence intensity (One Lambda) and using high-resolution antibody definition

| Blood type incompatible pairs ($N = 16$) | | Crossmatch positive pairs ($N = 16$) | | | |
|--|-------------|--|--|--------------------|---------|
| Blood group donor \rightarrow recipient | No. in pool | matched | Blood group donor \rightarrow recipient | No. in pool | matched |
| $A \rightarrow 0$ | 11 | 3 | $0 \rightarrow 0$ | 5 | 2 |
| $B \rightarrow O$ | 2 | 1 | $O \rightarrow A$ | 7 | 7 |
| $AB \rightarrow O$ | 1 | 0 | $A \rightarrow A$ | 3 | 2 |
| $A \rightarrow B$ | 2 | 1 | $B \rightarrow AB$ | 1 | 0 |
| 31% match rate (5/16) | | | 75% | match rate (12/16) | |

Table 2. Outcomes of various paired kidney exchange studies.

| Author and year | Sample | Outcome | | Remarks | |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|
| Leeser et al. 2020 [16] (2008–2017) <i>NKR, USA</i> | 2363 NKR PKE compared to control kidney transplant recipients (n = 54,497) | Median follow-up 3.7 y Similar graft failure and | l mortality | NKR registry was relative high risk – more likely t black, women, older, >8 PRA, previous transplan | o be 80% t and |
| Flechner et al. 2018 [22] <i>NKR, USA</i> | Tuncer et al. 2012 [72] (2008–2011) Turkey | 57 PKE vs. 1081 living related txp | Similar first and se graft loss, pt. los | econd year GFR, AR, | PKE pts had higher HLA mismatch and age |
| | Leeser et al. 2012 [73] (2007–2011) NKR, USA | 44 pair leading to 50 txp. | DGF – 6%; 1 yea 9.1%; 1 year pt. 98% and 94% | r rejection rate – . and graft survival | Blood type incompatibility – 54.4%; sensitization – 43.2% |
| Allen et al. 2018 [69] Australia | Bingaman et al. 2012 [19] (3 years) Methodist San Antonio, USA | 134 (117 incompatible and 17 compatible pairs) | 3 episodes of reje due to rejection | ection, no graft lost | 5 desensitization combined with PKE 44% with PRA >80% |
| Kute <i>et al.</i> 2017 [70] (2000–2016) <i>India</i> Jha et al. 2015 [71] (2010–2013) <i>India</i> | Klerk et al. 2011 [74] (2004–2011) Dutch PKE program | 187 transplants – 83 blood group incompatible and 104 positive crossmatch pairs | | d survival — 85%; graft survival — 89% | 40% of the registered patient got transplanted |
| Malik et al. 2014 [12] (2009–2013) Canada | Montgomery et al. 2005 [18] (2001–2004) Johns Hopkins, USA | 22; median follow-up 13 months | | 00%; graft survival ns creatinine – 1.2 mg/ no AMRs | Two triple exchanges; 5 patients were highly sensitized |

Am J Transplant. 2016



| Component | Points |
|---|--|
| | -11.30 |
| Age > 50 | +1.85 × (age-50) |
| Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) | $-0.38 \times eGFR$ |
| Body mass index (BMI) | $+1.17 \times BMI$ |
| Donor/recipient male gender | +1.17 |
| African-American race | +22.34 |
| Donor/recipient ABO incompatible | +27.30 |
| History of cigarette use | +14.33 |
| Systolic blood pressure (SBP) | $+0.44 \times \text{SBP}$ |
| Donor/recipient not biologically related | -10.61 |
| HLA-B mismatch | +8.57 \times number of mismatches |
| HLA-DR mismatch | +8.26 \times number of mismatches |
| Donor/recipient weight ratio | -50.87 × (minimum of [donor/recipient weight ratio, 0.9]) |
| | |

TABLE 1 Components of the living kidney donor profile index (LKDPI)



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

American Journal of Transplantation American Journal of Transplantation 23 (2023) 232–238

journal homepage: www.amjtransplant.org

Original Article

The living kidney donor profile index fails to discriminate allograft surv implications for its use in kidney paired donation programs

Georgina L. Irish ^{a,b,c}, Lachlan C. McMichael ^{a,d}, Matthew Kadatz ^{d,e}, Neil Boudville Scott Campbell ^{h,i}, Steven Chadban ^{j,k}, Doris Chang ^l, John Kanellis ^{m,n}, Edward Sharples ^o, John S. Gill ^{d,1,p,*}, Philip A. Clayton ^{a,b,c}

| SRTR | ANZDATA |
|------------|----------|
| N = 65 388 | N = 4524 |

We conclude that the LKDPI does not discriminate DCGS and should not be used to promote CP participation in KPD programs.

Massie AB, et al. A Risk Index for Living Donor Kidney Transplantation. Am J Transplant. 2016.

Rethinking incompatibility in kidney transplantation, AJT 2021





478 hasta, DY ≥13 AY ise greft kaybı 9.5 kat fazla.

Kostakis ID, Clin Transplant. 2013

100 bin hasta, Alıcı Kilo ≥30 Verici Kilo ; DSGF riski %22 daha fazla(<10 kg olanlara kıyasla) Miller AJ,Clin J Am Soc Nephrol. 2017

Rethinking incompatibility in kidney transplantation, AJT 2021

JACKSON AND SEGEV

1035

Α.



FIGURE 2 Paradigms of incompatibility. In the old paradigm of incompatibility, KPD was used primarily to avoid ABO/HLAincompatibility, whereas ABO/HLA-compatible donors would undergo direct LDKT. Under the new paradigm of incompatibility, potential living donors are assessed for all types of incompatibilities using tools such as the LKDPI and other types of incompatibilities (such as viral

Ten Years of Kidney Paired Donation at Mayo Clinic: The Benefits of Incorporating ABO/HLA Compatible Pairs

İlk yıl canlı nakillerin %1.9 u, 10 yıl sonra %20.4 ü KPD havuzundan yapılmış.

54 tane uyumlu paylaşım yapılmış, yaş-boyut uyumsuzluğu nedeni ile gruba katılan alıcıların (28) yeni vericilerinin LKPDI indeksi ortalama 31.5(12.3,47, p<0.0001) puan azalmış.



Figure 3. Entry into Kidney Paired Donation Guideline.

Transplantation, 2020

Coopetition

Article Talk

Read Edit View history Tools ~

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

For the book, see Coopetition (book). For the 2000 FIRST Robotics Competition game, see Co-Opertition FIRST.

Coopetition or co-opetition (sometimes spelled "coopertition" or "co-opertition") is a neologism coined to describe cooperative competition.





| Desensitizasyon | Çapraz Nakil |
|---|--------------------------|
| Artmış immunsupresyon | Standart tedavi |
| enfeksiyon, malignite, erken dönem ölüm | |
| Artmış rejeksiyon riski | Azaltılabilir |
| Yüksek ilaç ve işlem maliyeti | |
| İşleme bağlı artmış kanama riski | |
| | Alıcı-verici psikolojisi |
| | Transfer riskleri |
| | Vazgeçme |
| | Soğuk iskemi |

| Ülkenin SOSYO-EKONOMİK koşulları | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Maliyet, ilaca ulaşma zorluğu | Çok sayıda akraba |



Kaynak: TÜİK, Adrese Dayalı Nüfus Kayıt Sistemi, 2022